**WTI2017 Failure Mechanisms - Dikes overtopping Kernel**

**Technical Design**

|  |
| --- |
| © Deltares, 2015 |

Edwin Spee

**WTI2017 Failure Mechanisms - Dikes overtopping Kernel**

**Technical Design**

**WTI2017 Failure Mechanisms - Dikes overtopping Kernel**

**Technical Design**

Edwin Spee

Date: 11 December 2015

Date: 11 December 2015

Version: 1.1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Client** | | Rijkswaterstaat, WVL | | | | | | | |
| **Title** | | VTV - Dikes overtopping  Technical Design | | | | | | | |
| **Abstract** | | | | | | | | | |
| This document contains the technical design for the dikes overtopping kernel, which forms a part of the WTI 2017 failure mechanism library. The kernel comprises different software components for the calculation of the discharge due to overtopping and the corresponding Z-value. | | | | | | | | | |
| **References** | | | |  | | | | | |
| Version | Author | | | Date | Remarks | Review | | Approved by | |
| 0.91 | Edwin Spee | |  | 01-12-2015 | see issue OVERS-8 | Erik de Goede |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | Edwin Spee | |  | 10-12-2015 | idem | Erik de Goede |  | Arthur Baart |  |
| 1.1 | Edwin Spee | |  | 11-12-2015 |  | Erik de Goede |  | Arthur Baart |  |
| **Project number** | | | 1220043.002 | | | | | | |
| **Keywords** | | | Dikes overtopping, WTI, Kernel, Technical Design | | | | | | |
| **Number of pages** | | | - | | | | | | |
| **Classification** | | |  | | | | | | |
| **Status** | | | Final | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |

wlL5 wlSummary

Contents

wlL2 wlL1 wlL3 wlL4

1 Introduction 3

1.1 Purpose and scope of this document 3

1.2 Other system documents 3

1.3 Assumptions and constraints 3

2 Technical Design 5

2.1 General 5

2.1.1 HydraRing and other Fortran programs 5

2.1.2 RingToets and other C# and .Net programs 5

2.2 Description of the required input data for a discharge calculation 6

2.3 Description of the output data for a discharge calculation 7

2.4 Possible error messages 7

2.5 Description of the required input data for the resulting Z-function 7

2.6 Description of the output data for the resulting Z-function 8

2.7 Compatibility 8

2.8 Validation 8

2.9 Version number 8

3 Calculation of z2% , the 2% wave run-up. 9

wlListTabs wlListFigs wlListPhotos wlListSymb

# 

# Introduction

## Purpose and scope of this document

This document contains the technical design for the dikes overtopping kernel, which forms a part the WTI 2017 failure mechanism library. The kernel comprises different software components for predicting the discharge due to overtopping and the corresponding Z-function.

Note that the kernel is restricted to wave overtopping. Overflow is not a part of this kernel.

The document will not give any background on the context of the WTI project and on the derivation or motivation of the supported physical model. For this purpose the reader is referred to the WTI2017 and to its supporting technical reports and their background reports underneath.

This document will describe how the requirements and functional design are implemented in the kernel.

## Other system documents

The full documentation on the kernel comprises the following documents.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title | Content | Author(s) | Reviewer(s) |
| Requirements and functional design | Description of the requirements and functional design. | B. Kuijper  M.T. Duits  R.G. Kamp  J.P. de Waal | J.P. de Waal,  P. van Steeg |
| Technical design | This document | E.J. Spee | E. de Goede |
| Technical specification | Description of the arguments and usage of different software components, generated from in-line comment with Doxygen | generated | Not applicable |
| Test plan | Description of the different regression and acceptation rests, including target values. | J.P. de Waal | P. van Steeg |
| Test report | Actuated results of the test plan. | J.P. de Waal | P. van Steeg |

## Assumptions and constraints

1. As a general constraint, the development process needs to comply with the general process description for WTI software, contained in a separate document.
2. As a general constraint, the kernel needs to comply with the relevant general requirements and further design rules for the programming, documentation and testing of WTI software. This set of requirements and rules is contained in a separate document. The set includes the constraints CNS 3 to CNS 5, listed hereafter.
3. As a general WTI software constraint, the failure mechanism library will contain only components for a deterministic analysis to calculate the discharge due to wave overtopping and the corresponding Z-function.
4. As a general WTI software constraint, all appropriate model constants need to be adaptable outside the kernel, in order to allow for varying values during probabilistic analysis.
5. The software interface (API) must allow usage from Fortran (HydraRing) and C# (Ringtoets).

# Technical Design

## General

The dikes overtopping kernel must be usable in RingToets/HydraRing and other Fortran or C#-programs.

To be able to perform a calculation, regardless of the usage, input parameters are required to define the case to be calculated. An overview of all input data is given in sections 2.2 and 2.5.

### HydraRing and other Fortran programs

In order to be usable in Hydraring, the kernel provides high level functions in the dll[[1]](#footnote-1) for calculating the discharge and the resulting Z-function, where input arguments are Fortran structs.

### RingToets and other C# and .Net programs

In order to be usable in RingToets, the kernel provides high level functions in the dll for calculating the discharge and the resulting Z-function, where input arguments are C# datatypes.

The dll must be wrapped in a C# wrapper class. In the test bench an example wrapper is available that can be used as a blueprint for the actual wrapper in RingToets. For this moment, such a wrapper will not be part of this kernel.

## Description of the required input data for a discharge calculation

To calculate the discharge due to wave overtopping, the user has to provide the load, the geometry, the dike height, the model factors, a level for amount of logging and optionally the name of the log file.

Note that the log file is currently only used when the iterations process in section 3 ends with a higher residue than expected.

The exact definition of all input structs can be found in the technical documentation. A description is given here:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *parameter* | *symbol* | *type* | *description* |
| load | h  Hm0  Tm-1,0  ϕ | double  double  double  double | local water level (m + NAP)  significant wave height (m)  spectral wave period (s)  wave direction (degrees in range 0 … 360) |
| geometry |   nPoints  x  y  r | double  integer  double[]  double[]  double[] | orientation of the dike normal (degrees in range 0 … 360)  number of coordinates  xCoords (array of size nPoints, m)  yCoords (array of size nPoints, m + NAP)  roughness (array of size nPoints - 1) |
| dikeHeigth | hcrest | double | dike height (m + NAP) |
| model factors | fn  fb  mz2  fRunup1  fRunup2  fRunup3  fshallow  mq0  mc  typeRunup  Ruser  fred | double  double  double  double  double  double  double  double  double  integer  double  double | model factor for non-breaking waves  model factor for breaking waves  model factor describing the uncertainty of 2% run up height  model factor 1 for wave run-up (for backwards compatibility)  model factor 2 for wave run-up (idem)  model factor 3 for wave run-up (idem)  model factor for shallow waves  model factor computed overtopping discharge  model factor critical overtopping discharge  0: fRunup1, 2,3 are given; 1: mz2 is given  relaxation factor iteration procedure wave run-up  reduction factor foreshore |
| logging | verbosity  filename | integer  char[255] | one of verboseNone (-1), verboseBasic (0),  verboseDetailed (1), verboseDebugging (2)  filename of the log file |

The struct with model factors is used for both the discharge calculation and the Z-function.

The wave height Hm0 must be >= 0. Small waves (Hm0 <= 10-7 *m*) are neglected and lead to zero discharge.

## Description of the output data for a discharge calculation

The calculation of the discharge leads to three types of output:

1. Overtopping output struct
2. Success flag, 0 for success, otherwise failure
3. Error text (only relevant is not successful)

The overtopping output struct consists of two fields: z2 and Qo, respectively 2% wave run-up (m) and the wave overtopping discharge (m3/m per s).

## Possible error messages

The following input is not allowed and will lead to an error message:

1. Local water level is above the crest level (is overflow; not a part of this kernel)
2. Wave height is less than zero
3. Wave period is less than zero
4. Wave direction is not between 0 and 360 degrees
5. The model factors must be in a reasonable range and at least >= 0
6. The geometry is not valid

See section 2.8 for the possibilities of validation.

Two other error messages may occur:

1. 2% wave run up cannot be calculated, see section 3
2. Out of memory

## Description of the required input data for the resulting Z-function

The computation of the Z-value[[2]](#footnote-2) needs three types of input:

1. qc : the critical overtopping discharge rate
2. mc and mq0 , found in the struct with the model factors
3. q0 : the calculated discharge

The struct with the model factors is the same struct as used in the calculation of the discharge, but only the model factors for the computed and critical overtopping are relevant (resp. mq0 and mc).

## Description of the output data for the resulting Z-function

The calculation of the Z-function corresponding to the overtopping discharge, leads to three types of output:

1. Z: the computed Z-value
2. Success flag, 0 for success, otherwise failure
3. Error text (only relevant is not successful)

The Z-function will not be successful only if one of the model factors or the critical overtopping is 0 or negative.

The Z-function is given by:

Where:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| mc | Model factor critical overtopping discharge |
| qc | Critical overtopping discharge |
| mq0 | Model factor computed overtopping discharge |
| q0 | Computed overtopping discharge |
|  | Small number (2\*10-306), to avoid |

## Compatibility

The definition of the model factors is changed just before the code freeze in October, 2015.

To be able to reproduce old results, it is still possible to use the old definition. Therefore the switch typeRunup is introduced in the struct with the model factors. If typeRunup = 0, the old model factor for wave run up will be used, otherwise the new model factor for 2% wave run up will be used.  
This option will be removed in the near future.

Also the definition of the model factor for shallow waves changed in the same period. As this change was only a sign reversal, old results can be easily obtained by changing the sign.

## Validation

As shown in section 2.4 there are many cases in which the kernel ends up with an error message.

To prevent error messages during the computation, a validation routine is provided in the dll.

The validation only checks the profile and the range of the model factors.

To check the profile, also the dike height must be given.

Validation is not possible when using the old definition for the model factors for wave run up.

## Version number

The dll has a function to get the version number of the kernel.

The version number can also be found in the file properties of the dll.

# Calculation of z2% , the 2% wave run-up.

As given in the functional design, the calculation of the 2% wave run up consists of several steps:

Iterate until 2% wave run-up reaches equilibrium:

a) Estimate 2% wave run-up: provide starting value for z2%

b) Calculate representative slope angle tan .

c) Calculate z2%,smooth, neglecting the effect of berms and roughness (b=1, f=1).

d) Calculate influence factor roughness on slope: f.

e) Calculate z2%,rough, neglecting the effect of berms (assume b = 1).

f) Calculate influence factor berms: b

g) Calculate new influence factor roughness on slope: f.

h) If applicable, adjust the influence factors

i) Calculate 2% wave run-up: z2%

This iteration process can take many iterations and it is not guaranteed that this will converge within a reasonable margin. In some cases it happens that z2% will flip flop between two values.

To improve convergence, but to change the results as little as possible, the next procedure will be used:

1. Start with z2% = 1.5 Hm0
2. In iteration 2 … 5 use result of previous iteration
3. In iteration 6 … 25 use relaxation only if the user provides a relaxation factor
4. In iteration 26 … 49 use a relaxation factor of at least 0.5
5. Search with 10 small steps at both sides of the value with the lowest residue of the previous steps to find a new minimal residue.

When using relaxation in iterations 6 … 49, the new z2% is given by:

Where:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *R* | Relaxation factor |
|  | z2% at the end of the previous iteration |
|  | z2% at the beginning of the previous iteration |

Note that this approach always leads to a value for z2, but possible with a residue higher than the expected value of 10-3 *m*. In that case a warning is written to the log file, if specified.

Note that within each iteration step, steps a … i may give an error. In that case the computation of z2 fails.

Figure 1 shows a histogram of the number of iterations needed when running the test bench with 32479 evaluations.

About 95% of the cases need less than 10 iterations. Most of them only need 2 iterations. Approximately 1% finishes shortly after switching on relaxation.

Only one case needs step 5.

All test cases finish without errors in the steps a … i.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 1: number of iterations needed while running the overtopping test bench |

1. A dynamic link library (DLL) is a collection of small programs, any of which can be called when needed by a larger program that is running in the computer [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Z-function: limit state function. Z < 0 means failure [↑](#footnote-ref-2)